# **TEWKESBURY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Report to:	Planning Committee
Date of Meeting:	12 April 2016
Subject:	Current Appeals and Appeal Decisions Update
Report of:	Paul Skelton, Development Manager
Corporate Lead:	Rachel North, Deputy Chief Executive
Lead Member:	Cllr D M M Davies
Number of Appendices:	1

## **Executive Summary:**

To inform Members of current Planning and Enforcement Appeals and of Communities and Local Government (CLG) Appeal Decisions issued February and March 2016.

## **Recommendation:**

To CONSIDER the report

#### **Reasons for Recommendation:**

To inform Members of recent appeal decisions

Resource Implications:
None
Legal Implications:
None
Risk Management Implications:
None
Performance Management Follow-up:
None
Environmental Implications:
None

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

**1.1** At each Planning Committee meeting, Members are informed of current Planning and Enforcement Appeals and of Communities and Local Government (CLG) Appeal

Decisions that have recently been issued.

## 2.0 APPEAL DECISIONS

# **2.1** The following decisions have been issued by the First Secretary of State of CLG:

Application No	15/01059/FUL
Location	36 Pottersfield Road, Woodmancote
Appellant	Miss E Elliott
Development	Erection of detached single garage.
Officer recommendation	Refuse
Decision Type	Delegated
DCLG Decision	Dismissed
Reason	The application was for a garage in front of the existing house and was refused on the basis of its unacceptable impact on the streetscene in this open plan estate.  There had been previous refusals on this site however the Inspector concluded that the reduction in scale from earlier refused applications did not overcome the harm caused to the character and appearance of the area and agreed with the Council that permission should be refused.
Date	09.03.16

Application No	15/00587/FUL			
Location	Woodlands Bank, Gander Lane, Teddington			
Appellant	Mrs J Baker			
Development	Erection of stabling for Alpaca breeding herd			
Officer recommendation	Refuse			
Decision Type	Delegated			
DCLG Decision	Allowed			
Reason (if allowed)	The application was refused on the grounds that it would have an undue impact on the Cotswolds AONB in accordance with the Parish Council view.			
	In allowing the appeal, the Inspector considered that existing farm buildings and timber structures within the fields close to the appeal site form part of the landscape character of the area, and that the size of the proposed building would not be disproportionally large or excessive. He further observed that the building would be discretely sited close to the adjoining woodland 'Teddington Grove', and that it would be largely viewed against this woodland from public vantage points, including from the nearby rights of way and the rear elevations of properties in Teddington village. The woodland would rise above the height of the building up the hillside, such that the building would not be intrusive in the wider landscape.			
	In this context, the Inspector considered that the scale, siting and size of the proposal would not be overly prominent, intrusive or out of keeping with the rural landscape, or overbearing or harmful to the character of			

	the Cotswolds AONB, and that the visual impact of the building could be mitigated further with the addition of further landscaping
Date	15.03.16

Application No	15/00836/PDAD			
Location	The Dutch Barn, Sawpit Lane, Apperley			
Appellant	Mr Paul Benbow			
Development	Change of use of existing agricultural barn to 1 no. residential dwelling and building operations reasonably necessary to convert the building to a use falling within Class C3 (dwellinghouses)			
Officer recommendation	Refuse			
Decision Type	Delegated			
DCLG Decision	Dismissed			
Reason	This case was a notification under permitted development rights to change the use of a barn to a dwelling. The Council refused the application on the basis that there would be substantial alterations involved which took it outside the ambit of permitted development rights and because the building had not been previously solely used for agriculture.  The appeal was made on the basis that the Council had not given notice of its decision within the relevant period however the Inspector noted the Council's reasons for deciding that the scheme did not represent permitted development in any event.  The Inspector was not convinced that the evidence showed that the barn had solely been used for agricultural use and the proposal did not therefore constitute permitted development. Nevertheless, and disappointingly the Inspector considered that whilst the works required for the proposed conversion were extensive, they would fall within the requirements of the General Permitted Development Order. Whilst each case is different this does seem to be in conflict with other appeal decisions and better guidance on this matter from Government is sorely needed to help inform decision makers.			
Date	17.03.16			

Application No	15/00994/FUL
Location	74 Stanford Road, Northway

Appellant	Mr G Short			
Development	Erection of first floor rear extension (revised proposal to			
Bevelopment	previous permission reference 15/00518/FUL)			
0.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Officer recommendation	Refuse			
Decision Type	Delegated			
DCLG Decision	Dismissed			
Reason	The application was refused on the basis that the proposals would have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring property by reason of overshadowing/overbearing impact and overlooking.  The Inspector agreed with the Council and in doing so made the point that even if a side window overlooking the neighbouring property was obscure glazed, there would still be a real perception of overlooking, particularly given the very close proximity of the garden and rear windows of the neighbouring property.			
Date	23.03.16			

## 3.0 ENFORCEMENT APPEAL DECISIONS

- **3.1** None
- 4.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED
- **4.1** None
- 5.0 CONSULTATION
- **5.1** None
- 6.0 RELEVANT COUNCIL POLICIES/STRATEGIES
- **6.1** None
- 7.0 RELEVANT GOVERNMENT POLICIES
- **7.1** None
- 8.0 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS (Human/Property)
- **8.1** None
- 9.0 SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS (Social/Community Safety/Cultural/ Economic/ Environment)
- **9.1** None
- 10.0 IMPACT UPON (Value For Money/Equalities/E-Government/Human Rights/Health And Safety)
- **10.1** None
- 11.0 RELATED DECISIONS AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT FACTS
- **11.1** None

Background Papers: None

**Contact Officer:** 

Appendices: Appendix 1: List of Appeals received

# Appendix 1

List of Appeals Received						
Deference	A daluaca	Description	Date Appeal	Appeal	Appeal	Statement
Reference Address	Description	Lodged	Procedure	Officer	Due	

List of Appeals Received						
Reference	Address	Description	Date Appeal		Appeal	Statement
15/00865/FUL	Farm Wickridge Street Ashleworth Gloucester	Installation of two no. biomass boilers on a concrete pad and the change of use of the grain store and mill house for use in association with commercial wood chip drying.	Lodged 14/03/2016	W W	<b>Officer</b> HMS	<b>Due</b> 18/04/2016
15/00639/FUL	Kings Head Inn Tewkesbury Road Norton GL2 9LR	Construction of 4 dwellings (Revised scheme to previously approved under 14/00686/FUL to incorporate a double garage at plot 2)	14/03/2016	W	CIP	18/04/2016
15/01211/FUL	Newton Farm Natton Ashchurch Tewkesbury Gloucestershire GL20 7BE	Retrospective consent for the creation of a construction training centre	14/03/2016	W	MAT	18/04/2016
15/00162/FUL	Grafton House Gretton Fields Gretton Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL54 5HH	Proposed use of land and buildings for a mixed use Class B1, Class B2, Vintage Vehicle Storage (Class B8) and Equestrian Purposes. Formation of Manege. Construction of vehicular access and driveway.	29/02/2016	W	JBD	04/04/2016
15/01139/FUL	Bamfurlong Lane Staverton Cheltenham	Change of use of holiday touring caravan and camping site to gypsy and traveller site for 20 static caravan pitches and 9 touring caravans pitches, amenity space, landscaping and utility building, following demolition of existing buildings.	04/03/2016	W	JWH	08/04/2016
15/01007/FUL	Vine Tree Farm The Wharf Coombe Hill	Proposed replacement dwelling with attached garage building. Hard and	29/02/2016	W	LJD	04/04/2016

List of Appeals Received						
Reference	Address	Description	Date Appeal Lodged	Appeal Procedure		Statement Due
	Gloucestershire	soft landscaping. Provision of new access and driveway Revised scheme following planning permission ref: 14/01224/FUL.				

# Process Type • "HH" |

Indicates Householder Appeal

"W"

Indicates Written Reps
Indicates Informal Hearing
Indicates Public Inquiry "H"

" | "